UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,471	02/09/2004	William E. Sobel	20423-08314	1357
34415 SYMANTEC/ I	7590 06/04/200 FENWICK	EXAMINER		
SILICON VALLEY CENTER 801 CALIFORNIA STREET			VU, TUAN A	
	YIEW, CA 94041		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ptoc@fenwick.com bhoffman@fenwick.com aprice@fenwick.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/775,471	SOBEL, WILLIAM E.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Tuan A. Vu	2193	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the o	over sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN	I CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same da application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) a application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with application to Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. To periods:	n amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the eal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the fire	nal rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHE	IONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	attion and an OZ OED 4 400(a) and the appropriate as toroism for
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the phave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and thounder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statuset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	e corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee utory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 3	7 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time AMENDMENTS	
	e date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration a	
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for a appeal; and/or	ppeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a correspondin	-
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33	. ,,
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attac	hed Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submon-allowable claim(s). 	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below of the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1.3-15.17-20.22-26 and 28-32.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or or because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient rewas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of A entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was no	rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the statu REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	s of the claims after entry is below or attached.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT	place the application in condition for allowance because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) 13. Other:	Paper No(s)
/Tu	an A Vu/
	ary Examiner, Art Unit 2193

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicants have submitted that Sobel does not disclose Sobel's replacement of FAT is after determining whether a reboot is expected or unexpected. In reply, Sobel determines whether a deployment is successful based on the reboot event, that is, event such that if the result of the deployment is not then appropriate, recovery actions should take place, i.e. the reboot is due to a fault, hence not expected. The language of the claim although phrased with detecting and determining in the course of some deployment is not teaching a particular aspect that would clearly distinguish from the deployment by Sobel: the argument is not convincing, particularly when the added limitations are not entered, and when subsequently the USC 112 impropriety is not resolved. The rejection has indicated from the teachings by Sobel that: a first reboot takes place, then a recovery process takes place wherein additional reboots occurs because of improper events occur during the recovery, hence one reboot before and one reboot after step 408. The arguments (mere allegation that Sobel does not teach - for claims 4, 32) are not persuasive based on the establishment of facts or lack thereof as presented in those arguments in light of the broadness of the claim language. To not complicate issue of an Appeal Brief, the amendments as proposed will not be entered, and the claims as previously submitted are not in condition for allowance.